Incidence and prevalence of falls in adults with intellectual disability living in the community: a systematic review protocol
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Review question/objective: The objective of this review is to synthesize the best available evidence to determine the incidence and prevalence of falls in adults with intellectual disability living in the community.
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Background

Falls are a leading cause of injury among older Australians.¹ In 2011–2012, there were nearly 96,000 hospitalizations from fall-related injuries in Australia, with each hospital stay lasting on average eight days.² It is estimated that one-third of people aged 65 years and over, who are living in the community, experience at least one fall per year.²,³ Falls are a significant socio-economic problem. The healthcare cost associated with falls was estimated to be over $498 million in 2009, and it is expected to increase to approximately $1.4 billion by 2051.⁴ The World Health Organization has recognized that falls are a worldwide health concern as fall-related injuries can account for up to 50% of hospitalizations in older people.⁵

Healthcare professionals have observed that people with intellectual disability (ID) are also experiencing falls and studies suggest that the incidence of falls is higher than that of the broader community-dwelling population of older adults. People with ID defined in these studies either have an IQ score lower than 75⁶ or present with limited intellectual and adaptive functioning⁷-¹¹ as defined by the Australian Psychological Society fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) criteria.¹² Studies that have investigated the rate of falls among adults with ID suggest that it ranges from 0.93 falls per person year to 1.29 falls per person year.⁶-¹¹ This higher rate of falls could be because people with ID share similar risk factors with older people, such as the use of a walking aid⁹,¹³ and impaired mobility,²,¹⁴ but they may have additional unique risk factors such as epilepsy and behavioral difficulties.¹⁴ Furthermore, people with ID may experience falls at a younger age than the general older population because age-related changes can begin from their third decade of life.¹³,¹⁶

It is challenging to establish what the rate of falls is in adults with ID, as the majority of the studies in this area have not followed rigorous methodologies for data collection and reporting. A set of guidelines for conducting falls research was published in 2005¹⁷ and recommended that falls data should be collected prospectively with recording of falls daily and data collection at least monthly.¹⁷ Falls and falls injuries should be reported as rates, as well as the proportion of people who fall within the observational period.¹⁷ Previous studies have reported only the proportion of people who experienced a fall(s) during the observational period, but not the rate of falls (number of falls per person year).⁷-⁹,¹¹ Participants who had fallen in these studies also sustained injuries but details of the injuries were not reported. Studies have also used variable methods of data collection. Some collected data prospectively, while others used retrospective data collection.
For the studies that collected data prospectively, only two studies collected falls data prospectively with daily recordings of falls\(^6,10\) while the others relied on incident reports or recording the number of falls based on what the participants recalled at the end of the study period.\(^7-9,11\) It has been reported that the latter methods of measurement are unreliable.\(^17\)

These studies also encompassed varied settings and participant groups.\(^6-11\) The age group of the participants ranged from 18 to 71 years.\(^6-11\) Participants were recruited from health clinics, nursing homes and community-based service providers.\(^6-11\) It is therefore challenging to make comparisons between the studies to determine the incidence of falls among each of these groups of people with ID. It is important to make this distinction to be able to appropriately target health services at the individual age groups.

There are limited studies that have investigated how falls prevention strategies should be provided for adults with ID. Studies implementing falls prevention strategies for adults with ID have reported challenges such as the high reliance on caregivers to provide relevant history,\(^18\) or to be present to implement exercise programs.\(^19\) This challenge is further impacted by caregivers often not being present at times of healthcare service delivery, and paid support is often inadequate to implement falls prevention programs.\(^20\) People with ID also have limited cognitive ability to understand the importance of falls prevention which affect their short- and long-term compliance to falls prevention strategies,\(^20\) therefore population-specific prevention strategies need to be developed and investigated. Being able to establish the incidence and prevalence of falls among adults with ID is important in order to quantify the extent of the problem and to subsequently inform the design of further research and development of services that are suitable for this population.

Multiple systematic reviews have examined the incidence of falls among older people living in community based settings,\(^2,20\) but there has not been a review that has specifically investigated the incidence of falls among adults with ID. It is not clear if previous reviews included adults with ID and if so, none reported the data concerning sub-groups of participants with ID separately.\(^2,20\) To date, studies investigating the incidence of falls in people with ID have not been systematically assessed. Any previous reviews that have made references to falls among people with ID have been related to risk factors,\(^14\) preventative strategies,\(^14\) gait and balance capacities\(^21\) and prevention of unintentional injury but not incidence of falls.\(^22\)

A preliminary search of the literature through the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, PubMed, CINAHL and PROSPERO found no recent systematic reviews either published or underway on this topic. Therefore, it is necessary to synthesize the findings of the studies that have been conducted in this area, systematically including their strengths and limitations, to identify evidence on the incidence of falls among adults with ID. The negative impact and high economic burden of falls among older people\(^21\) are well established, and a close estimate of the incidence in adults with ID will allow a direct comparison with the incidence of falls among older people in the broader community dwelling population. It is important to determine the scope and extent of the problem of falls in adults with ID.

Inclusion criteria

Types of participants

The current review will consider studies, conducted worldwide, that include people with mild to severe levels of ID according to the severity classification in DSM-5.\(^12\) Studies that have used the term “learning disability” that follows the same criteria as ID in DSM-5 will also be included. Studies that use a broader inclusion criterion of “developmental disability”\(^23\) that includes people with ID will be included. The review will consider studies involving participants aged 18 years and older. Studies that include participants younger than 18 years will be included if the mean age is 18 years or older, or if data from participants who are 18 years or older can be separately extracted.

Studies that include participants who have participants under 18 years of age, adults who have been cognitively affected by trauma or old age related decline such as dementia will not be included.

Condition

The current review will consider studies reporting on falls and injuries sustained from a fall among adults
with ID. The World Health Organization has defined a fall as “an event which results in a person coming to rest inadvertently on the ground or floor or other lower level” \(^{5(1)}\) and a fall will be classified as injurious if it results in bruising, laceration, dislocation, fracture or complaints of an onset of persistent pain as a result of the fall. \(^{24}\) Studies that report falls or injurious fall rates among adults with ID or the proportion of people who fall will also be included.

**Context**

The current review will consider only studies conducted with participants living in the community. This will include participants living at home with family or living in independent units with or without support. Studies that have included participants who live with other people with disability in group homes with or without paid support will also be included. Studies that have participants who live in residential care settings such as nursing homes will be excluded unless the studies have included participants living in the community and provided data that can be analyzed separately.

**Outcomes**

Studies will only be included in this review if they include falls prevalence and/or incidence as an outcome measure. Outcome measures related to falls prevalence and/or incidence may include the rate of falls (expressed as the number of falls per 1000 person days), the proportion of participants who became fallers (expressed as the percentage of participants who fell), the rate of injurious falls (expressed as the number of falls with injury per 1000 person days), and the proportion of participants who had an injurious fall (expressed as the percentage of participants who sustained an injury as a result of a fall). Studies that measure falls rates as secondary outcome measures will be included if they provide data where the falls rate can be calculated.

**Types of studies**

The current review will consider any study with an observational design including prospective and retrospective cohort studies, case-control and cross-sectional studies. Due to the paucity of literature in this area, studies that use an experimental design, both randomized controlled and quasi-experimental design will be included. Single-case studies will be excluded.

**Search strategy**

The search strategy aims to find both published and unpublished studies written in English. A three-step search strategy will be utilized in this review. First, a limited search of PubMed and CINAHL will be undertaken using an initial set of key words (fall, accidental fall, falls prevention, ID, developmental disability and learning disability), followed by analysis of the text words contained in the title and abstract, and of the index terms used to describe the article. A second extended search using all identified keywords and index terms will then be undertaken across all included databases. Third, the reference lists of all identified reports and articles will be searched for additional studies. Studies published from 1990 to present will be considered. The start date of 1990 is considered appropriate as research into falls prevention is a relatively recent field of research, and other large systematic reviews investigating the evidence for falls interventions \(^{25,26}\) have included studies dating from 1990. \(^{27,28}\) All studies identified during the database search will be retrieved and examined to ensure relevance and that they meet the inclusion criteria using the title and abstract by two independent reviewers, with arbitration from a third independent reviewer if necessary.

The databases to be searched will include: The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, CINAHL, AMED and PsychINFO. The search for unpublished studies will include Trove, Google Scholar and ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. A clinical trial registry database, Current Controlled Trials (http://www.isrctn.com) and the National Institute of Health Clinical Database (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) will also be searched. For specific research into people with ID, the websites of Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Developmental Disabilities and Health (rrtcadd.org), Centre for Developmental Disability Health Victoria (www.cddh.monash.org) and the Centre for Applied Disability Research (www.cadr.org.au) will also be searched.

**Assessment of methodological quality**

Articles selected for retrieval will be assessed by two independent reviewers for methodological validity before inclusion in the review using standardized
critical appraisal instruments for the JBI critical appraisal checklist for studies reporting prevalence data, randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental studies, cohort and cross-sectional studies as applicable. Any disagreements that arise between reviewers will be resolved through discussions or by further discussion with a third reviewer, which will be any one of the associated reviewers, if necessary. The inclusion process will be reported using a PRISMA flowchart.

Data extraction
Quantitative data will be extracted from papers included in the review by two independent reviewers, using the adapted data extraction tools from JBI System for the Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information. The data extracted will include specific details about the populations, study methods, interventions and outcomes of significance to the review question. For studies that use an experimental design, data extracted will include details such as outcomes used and falls data. If these trials have repeated measures of falls, only falls data from the control phase or group will be extracted. Any disagreements that will arise between reviewers will be resolved through discussions, or with a third reviewer. Where data are missing, the authors of primary studies will be contacted if necessary, to seek clarification.

Data synthesis
Quantitative data, where possible, will be pooled in statistical meta-analysis using RevMan software. All results will be subjected to double data entry. Statistical analysis will be carried out for primary outcomes wherever possible using the inverse variance method. Heterogeneity will be assessed statistically using the standard chi-square test. Where data can be pooled, the resultant meta-analysis will give the relevant summary falls statistic and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and will list the individual proportions with their 95% CI values from individual studies. If meta-analysis is possible and if applicable, a sub-group analysis will be used to assess the contribution of each study to the overall heterogeneity. Where statistical pooling is not possible, data will be presented in a narrative form including table and figures to aid in data presentation wherever appropriate.
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